IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

LETTERS PATENT APPEAL No 1088 of 2002
in
SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 8979 of 2001

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.J.SHETHNA
and
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAVI R.TRIPATHI

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

SURENDRANAGAR DISTRICT PANCHAYAT
Versus
GANGABEN LALJIBHAI

Appearance:
1. LETTERS PATENT APPEAL No. 1088 of 2002
MR HS MUNSHAW for Appellant No.
..... for Respondent No. 1-5

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.J.SHETHNA
and
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAVI R.TRIPATHI

Date of decision: 03/09/2003

ORAL JUDGEMENT

(Per : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.J.SHETHNA)

Almost identical Letters Patent Appeal No.309 of 2002 is dismissed today by us by a detailed reasoned order. Except the fact that there is some difference in facts of the case, all other points involved in this appeal are the same. Therefore, for the reasons recorded in Letters Patent Appeal No.309 of 2002, this appeal is also dismissed.

(B.J.Shethna, J.)

(Ravi R. Tripathi, J.)

*Pvv